# VOORHEES COLLEGE, VELLORE-1 

Students Satisfaction Survey

2016-17

Based on the Students Survey Carried during the year 2016-17, it appears that the majority of the students rated their teachers and institution favourably. $45.3 \%$ of students rated the coverage of the syllabus as Excellent, and $34.9 \%$ of students rated the teacher's ability to communicate as Excellent. Similarly, $42.5 \%$ of students rated the teacher's approach to teaching as Excellent, and $40.6 \%$ of students rated the institution's provision of multiple opportunities to learn and grow as Excellent. Additionally, $35.8 \%$ of students rated the fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers as Excellent, and the same percentage of students rated the teacher's ability to inform them about their expected competencies, course outcomes, and program outcomes as Excellent.

It is noteworthy that some students rated their experiences less favourably. For example, $3.8 \%$ of students rated the teacher's approach to teaching as Poor, and the same percentage of students rated the fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers as Poor. Additionally, $2.8 \%$ of students rated the institution's provision of opportunities to learn and grow as Poor, and the same percentage of students rated the mentor's necessary follow-up with an assigned task as Poor.

Overall, it seems that the institution is generally effective in providing a positive learning environment for its students. However, there may be some areas that require improvement, such as addressing concerns related to the fairness of internal evaluation processes, communication, and follow-up.



Principal i/c

PRINCIPAL IN-CHARGE VOORHEES COLLEGE VFLLORE-632001.

| How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| Valid | Average | 3 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
|  | Good | 21 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 22.6 |
|  | Very <br> Good | 34 | 32.1 | 32.1 | 54.7 |
|  | Excellent | 48 | 45.3 | 45.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


| How well did the teacher prepare for the classes? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Average | 2 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
|  | Good | 26 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 26.4 |
|  | Very <br> Good | 41 | 38.7 | 38.7 | 65.1 |
|  | Excellent | 37 | 34.9 | 34.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


| How well were the teachers able to communicate? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Poor | 4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
|  | Average | 6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 9.4 |
|  | Good | 14 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 22.6 |
|  | Very <br> Good | 37 | 34.9 | 34.9 | 57.5 |
|  | Excellent | 45 | 42.5 | 42.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


| The teacher's approach to teaching can best be descibed as: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Poor | 1 | .9 | .9 | .9 |
|  | Average | 10 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 10.4 |
|  | Good | 27 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 35.8 |
|  | Very <br> Good | 23 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 57.5 |
|  | Excellent | 45 | 42.5 | 42.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


| Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Poor | 4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
|  | Average | 7 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 10.4 |
|  | Good | 13 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 22.6 |
|  | Very Good | 46 | 43.4 | 43.4 | 66.0 |
|  | Excellent | 36 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


| Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Average | 16 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 15.1 |
|  | Good | 14 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 28.3 |
|  | Very <br> Good | 37 | 34.9 | 34.9 | 63.2 |
|  | Excellent | 39 | 36.8 | 36.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |



The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilities you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

|  |  | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Percent \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Valid <br>

Percent\end{array} $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Cumulative } \\
\text { Percent }\end{array}
$$\right]\)

| The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| Valid | Average | 11 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 |
|  | Good | 16 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 25.5 |
|  | Very <br> Good | 36 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 59.4 |
|  | Excellent | 43 | 40.6 | 40.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course } \\ \text { outcomes and programme outcomes. }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Frequency | Percent | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Valid <br>

Percent\end{array} \quad $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Cumulative } \\
\text { Percent }\end{array}
$$\right]\)

| Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Average | 4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
|  | Good | 21 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 23.6 |
|  | Very <br> Good | 44 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 65.1 |
|  | Excellent | 37 | 34.9 | 34.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Poor | 1 | . 9 | . 9 | . 9 |
|  | Average | 7 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 7.5 |
|  | Good | 29 | 27.4 | 27.4 | 34.9 |
|  | Very Good | 33 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 66.0 |
|  | Excellent | 36 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


| The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with <br> providing right level of challenges. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Poor | 1 | .9 | .9 | .9 |
|  | Average | 12 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 12.3 |
|  | Good | 9 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 20.8 |
|  | Very <br> Good | 44 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 62.3 |
|  | Excellent | 40 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


| Teachers are able to identify your weakness and help you to |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| overcome them. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Frequency |  |  |  |  | Percent $\left.$| Valid |
| :---: |
| Percent | | Cumulative |
| :---: |
| Percent | \right\rvert\,

The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Average | 8 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
|  | Good | 24 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 30.2 |
|  | Very Good | 33 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 61.3 |
|  | Excellent | 41 | 38.7 | 38.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem-solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Poor | 1 | . 9 | . 9 | . 9 |
|  | Average | 8 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 8.5 |
|  | Good | 30 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 36.8 |
|  | Very Good | 25 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 60.4 |
|  | Excellent | 42 | 39.6 | 39.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities

|  |  | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Percent | Valid |
| :---: |
| Percent | | Cumulative |
| :---: |
| Percent |$|$| Valid | Average | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
|  | Good | 17 |
| 16.0 | 16.0 | 21.7 |
|  | Very <br> Good | 46 |
| 4 | 43.4 | 43.4 |
|  | Excellent | 37 |
| 34.9 | 34.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 |


| Effor life sk | are made and em | by the inst loyability |  | s to incu you rea | e soft skills, or the world |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Poor | 1 | . 9 | . 9 | . 9 |
|  | Average | 6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.6 |
|  | Good | 22 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 27.4 |
|  | Very <br> Good | 33 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 58.5 |
|  | Excellent | 44 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


| What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| multimedia, etc. while teaching. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
|  | Poor | 8 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
|  | Average | 8 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 15.1 |
|  | Good | 26 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 39.6 |
|  | Very <br> Good | 31 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 68.9 |
|  | Excellent | 33 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


| The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| very good. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Histogram




How well were the teachers able to communicate?


The teacher's approach to teaching can best be descibed as:


The teacher's approach to teaching can best be descibed as:


Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?


Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?

The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, and field visit opportunities for students.


The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilities you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.



Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.



The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.


The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.

The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.


The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.

Teachers are able to identify your weakness and help you to overcome them.


The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.


The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.

The institutel teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem-solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.


The institutel teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem-solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.


Efforts are made by the institute I teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work



The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.



